

ROUGH I -STATISTICAL CONVERGENCE OF SEQUENCES

PRASANTA MALIK*, MANOJIT MAITY** AND ARGHA GHOSH*

* Department of Mathematics, The University of Burdwan, Golapbag, Burdwan-713104, West Bengal, India. Email: pmjupm@yahoo.co.in. , buagbu@yahoo.co.in

** 25, Teachers Housing Estate, P.O.- Panchasayar, Kolkata-700094, India. Email: manojitm@research.jdpu.ac.in

ABSTRACT. The concept of I -statistical convergence of a sequence was first introduced by Das et. al. [2]. In this paper we introduce and study the notion of rough I -statistical convergence of sequences in normed linear spaces. We also define the set of all rough I -statistical limits of a sequence and discuss some topological properties of this set.

Key words and phrases : I -statistical convergence, rough I -statistical convergence, I -statistical limit set, I - statistical boundedness.

AMS subject classification (2010) : 40A05, 40G99 .

1. Introduction:

The notion of convergence of real sequences has been extended to statistical convergence by Fast [7] also independently by Schoenberg [14] using the natural density of $\mathbb{N}$. A subset K of $\mathbb{N}$ is said to have natural density $d(K)$ if

$$d(K) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|K(n)|}{n} \text{ exists}$$

where $K(n) = \{j \in K : j \leq n\}$ and $|K(n)|$ represents number of elements in $K(n)$.

A sequence $x = \{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of real numbers is said to be statistically convergent to $\zeta \in \mathbb{R}$ if for any $\varepsilon > 0$, $d(A(\varepsilon)) = 0$, where $A(\varepsilon) = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : |x_n - \zeta| \geq \varepsilon\}$. The study of statistical convergence become one of the most active research area in summability theory after the works of Fridy [6], Salat [15] and many others.

The notion of statistical convergence has been further generalized to I -convergence by Kostyrko et. al. [8] using ideals of $\mathbb{N}$. A lot of work on I -convergence can be found in [4, 5] and many others. Recently Das et. al. in [2] introduced the notion of ideal statistical convergence which is a new generalization of the notion

of statistical convergence. More investigation and application of this notion can be found in [2, 3, 16, 17].

The concept of rough convergence was first introduced by Phu [13]. If $x = \{x_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence in some normed linear space $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ and r be a non negative real number then x is said to be r -convergent to $\xi \in X$ if for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\|x_j - \xi\| < r + \varepsilon$ for all $j \geq N$.

Further this notion of rough convergence has been extended to rough statistical convergence by Aytar [1] using the notion of natural density of $\mathbb{N}$ in a similar way as usual notion of convergence was extended to statistical convergence. More work can be found in [9, 10]. Further the notion of rough statistical convergence was generalized to rough I -convergence by Pal et. al. [12] using ideals of $\mathbb{N}$. More investigation and application on this line can be found in [11, 12]. So naturally one can think if the new notion of I -statistical convergence can be introduced in the theory of rough convergence.

In this paper we introduce and study the notion of rough I -statistical convergence in a normed linear space $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ which naturally extends the notions of rough convergence as well as rough statistical convergence in a new way. We also define the set of all rough I -statistical limits of a sequence and proved some topological properties of this set.

2. Basic Definitions and Notations

In this section we recall some basic definitions and notations.

Definition 2.1. Let $X \neq \phi$. A class I of subsets of X is said to be an ideal in X provided, I satisfies the conditions:

- (i) $\phi \in I$,
- (ii) $A, B \in I \Rightarrow A \cup B \in I$,
- (iii) $A \in I, B \subset A \Rightarrow B \in I$.

An ideal I in a non-empty set X is called non-trivial if $X \notin I$.

Definition 2.2. Let $X \neq \phi$. A non-empty class $\mathbb{F}$ of subsets of X is said to be a filter in X provided that:

- (i) $\phi \notin \mathbb{F}$,
- (ii) $A, B \in \mathbb{F} \Rightarrow A \cap B \in \mathbb{F}$,
- (iii) $A \in \mathbb{F}, B \supset A \Rightarrow B \in \mathbb{F}$.

Definition 2.3. Let I be a non-trivial ideal in a non-empty set X . Then the class $\mathbb{F}(I) = \{M \subset X : \exists A \in I \text{ such that } M = X \setminus A\}$ is a filter on X . This filter $\mathbb{F}(I)$ is called the filter associated with I .

A non-trivial ideal I in $X (\neq \phi)$ is called admissible if $\{x\} \in I$ for each $x \in X$. Throughout the paper we take I as a non-trivial admissible ideal in $\mathbb{N}$ unless otherwise mentioned.

Definition 2.4. [2] Let $x = \{x_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of real numbers. Then x is said to be I -statistically convergent to ξ if for any $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\delta > 0$

$$\{n \in \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{n} |\{k \leq n : \|x_k - \xi\| \geq \varepsilon\}| \geq \delta\} \in I.$$

In this case we write $I\text{-st-}\lim x = \xi$

Definition 2.5. [3] A subset K of $\mathbb{N}$ is said to have I -natural density $d_I(K)$ if

$$d(K) = I - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|K(n)|}{n} \text{ exists}$$

where $K(n) = \{j \in K : j \leq n\}$ and $|K(n)|$ represents the number of elements in $K(n)$.

Definition 2.6. [13] If $x = \{x_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence in some normed linear space $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ and r be a non negative real number then x is said to be r -convergent to $\xi \in X$, if for any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\|x_k - \xi\| < r + \epsilon$ for all $k \geq N$.

Definition 2.7. [1] Let $x = \{x_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence in a normed linear space $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ and r be a non negative real number. x is said to be r -statistically convergent to ξ , denoted by $x \xrightarrow{r\text{-st}} \xi$, if for any $\varepsilon > 0$ we have $d(A(\varepsilon)) = 0$, where $A(\varepsilon) = \{k \in \mathbb{N} : \|x_k - \xi\| \geq r + \varepsilon\}$. In this case ξ is called the r -statistical limit of x .

Definition 2.8. Let $x = \{x_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence in a normed linear space $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ and r be a non negative real number. Then x is said to be rough I -statistically convergent to ξ or r - I -statistically convergent to ξ if for any $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\delta > 0$

$$\{n \in \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{n} |\{k \leq n : \|x_k - \xi\| \geq r + \varepsilon\}| \geq \delta\} \in I.$$

In this case ξ is called the rough I -statistical limit of $x = \{x_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ and we denote it by $x_k \xrightarrow{r\text{-}I\text{-st}} \xi$.

Here r in the above definition is called the roughness degree of the rough I -statistical convergence. If $r = 0$ we obtain the notion of I -statistical convergence. But our main interest is when $r > 0$. It may happen that a sequence $x = \{x_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is not I -statistically convergent in the usual sense, but there exists a sequence $y = \{y_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$, which is I -statistically convergent and satisfying the condition $\|x_k - y_k\| \leq r$ for all k (or for all k whose I -natural density is zero). Then x is rough I -statistically convergent to the same limit.

From the above definition it is clear that the rough I -statistical limit of a sequence is not unique. So we consider the set of rough I -statistical limits of a sequence x and we use the notation $I\text{-st-LIM}_x^r$ to denote the set of all rough I -statistical limits of a sequence x . We say that a sequence x is rough I -statistically convergent if $I\text{-st-LIM}_x^r \neq \phi$.

Throughout the paper x denotes the sequence $\{x_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ and X denotes a normed linear space $(X, \|\cdot\|)$.

We now provide an example to show that there exists a sequence which is neither rough statistically convergent nor I -statistically convergent but is rough I -statistically convergent.

Example 2.1. Let I be an admissible ideal in $\mathbb{N}$. Choose an infinite subset $A \in I$, whose I -natural density is zero but natural density does not exists. We define a sequence $x = \{x_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ in the following way

$$x_k = \begin{cases} (-1)^k, & \text{if } k \notin A \\ k, & \text{if } k \in A. \end{cases}$$

Then x neither rough statistically convergent nor I -statistically convergent but

$$I\text{-st-LIM}_x^r = \begin{cases} \emptyset, & \text{if } r < 1 \\ [1 - r, r - 1], & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

3. Main Results

In this section we discuss some basic properties of rough I -statistical convergence of sequences.

Theorem 3.1. Let $x = \{x_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence in X and $r > 0$. Then $\text{diam}(I\text{-st-LIM}_x^r) \leq 2r$. In particular if x is I -statistically convergent to ξ , then $I\text{-st-LIM}_x^r \supset \overline{B_r(\xi)} (= \{y \in X : \|y - \xi\| \leq r\})$ and so $\text{diam}(I\text{-st-LIM}_x^r) = 2r$.

Proof. If possible, let $\text{diam}(I\text{-st-LIM}_x^r) > 2r$. Then there exist $y, z \in I\text{-st-LIM}_x^r$ such that $\|y - z\| > 2r$. Now choose $\varepsilon > 0$ so that $\varepsilon < \frac{\|y - z\|}{2} - r$. Let $A = \{k \in \mathbb{N} : \|x_k - y\| \geq r + \varepsilon\}$ and $B = \{k \in \mathbb{N} : \|x_k - z\| \geq r + \varepsilon\}$. Then

$$\frac{1}{n}|\{k \leq n : k \in A \cup B\}| \leq \frac{1}{n}|\{k \leq n : k \in A\}| + \frac{1}{n}|\{k \leq n : k \in B\}|$$

and so by the property of I -convergence we have, $I\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n}|\{k \leq n : k \in A \cup B\}| \leq I\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n}|\{k \leq n : k \in A\}| + I\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n}|\{k \leq n : k \in B\}| = 0$. Thus $\{n \in \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{n}|\{k \leq n : k \in A \cup B\}| \geq \delta\} \in I$ for all $\delta > 0$. Let $K = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{n}|\{k \leq n : k \in A \cup B\}| \geq \frac{1}{2}\}$. Clearly $K \in I$. Now choose $n_0 \in \mathbb{N} \setminus K$. Then $\frac{1}{n_0}|\{k \leq n_0 : k \in A \cup B\}| < \frac{1}{2}$. So $\frac{1}{n_0}|\{k \leq n_0 : k \notin A \cup B\}| \geq 1 - \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2}$ i.e., $\{k : k \notin A \cup B\}$ is a nonempty set. Take $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $k_0 \notin A \cup B$. Then $k_0 \in A^c \cap B^c$ and hence $\|x_{k_0} - y\| < r + \varepsilon$ and $\|x_{k_0} - z\| < r + \varepsilon$. So $\|y - z\| \leq \|x_{k_0} - y\| + \|x_{k_0} - z\| \leq 2(r + \varepsilon) < \|y - z\|$, which is absurd. Therefore $\text{diam}(I\text{-st-LIM}_x^r) \leq 2r$.

Now if $I\text{-st-lim } x = \xi$, we proceed as follows. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\delta > 0$ be given. Then $A = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{n}|\{k \leq n : \|x_k - \xi\| \geq \varepsilon\}| \geq \delta\} \in I$. Then for $n \notin A$ we have $\frac{1}{n}|\{k \leq n : \|x_k - \xi\| \geq \varepsilon\}| < \delta$, i.e.,

$$\frac{1}{n}|\{k \leq n : \|x_k - \xi\| < \varepsilon\}| \geq 1 - \delta. \quad (1)$$

Now for each $y \in \overline{B_r(\xi)} = \{y \in X : \|y - \xi\| \leq r\}$ we have

$$\|x_k - y\| \leq \|x_k - \xi\| + \|\xi - y\| \leq \|x_k - \xi\| + r. \quad (2)$$

Let $B_n = \{k \leq n : \|x_k - \xi\| < \varepsilon\}$. Then for $k \in B_n$ we have $\|x_k - y\| < r + \varepsilon$. Hence $B_n \subset \{k \leq n : \|x_k - y\| < r + \varepsilon\}$. This implies, $\frac{|B_n|}{n} \leq \frac{1}{n}|\{k \leq n : \|x_k - y\| < r + \varepsilon\}|$ i.e., $\frac{1}{n}|\{k \leq n : \|x_k - y\| < r + \varepsilon\}| \geq 1 - \delta$. Thus for all $n \notin A$, $\frac{1}{n}|\{k \leq n : \|x_k - y\| \geq r + \varepsilon\}| < 1 - (1 - \delta)$. Hence we have $\{n \in \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{n}|\{k \leq n : \|x_k - y\| \geq r + \varepsilon\}| \geq \delta\} \subset A$. Since $A \in I$, so $\{n \in \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{n}|\{k \leq n : \|x_k - y\| \geq r + \varepsilon\}| \geq \delta\} \in I$. This shows that $y \in I\text{-st-LIM}_x^r$. Therefore $I\text{-st-LIM}_x^r \supset \overline{B_r(\xi)}$ and consequently $\text{diam}(I\text{-st-LIM}_x^r) \geq 2r$. Hence $\text{diam}(I\text{-st-LIM}_x^r) = 2r$. $\square$

In the paper [13] H.X. Phu has already shown that for any subsequence $x' = \{x_{n_k}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ of a sequence $x = \{x_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$, $\text{LIM}_x^r \subseteq \text{LIM}_{x'}^r$. But this fact does not hold good in case of rough I -statistical convergence. To support this we cite the following example.

Example 3.1. Let I be an admissible ideal of $\mathbb{N}$. Choose an infinite subset $A = \{j_1 < j_2 < \dots\}$, $A \in I$, whose I -natural density is zero but natural density does not exist. We define a sequence $x = \{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in the following way

$$\begin{aligned} x_n &= n, \text{ if } n = j_k \text{ for some } j_k \in A \\ &= 0, \text{ otherwise.} \end{aligned}$$

Then for $r > 0$, $I\text{-st-LIM}_x^r = [-r, r]$, but $I\text{-st-LIM}_{x'}^r = \emptyset$ where $x' = \{x_{j_n}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$.

In the following theorem we show that the rough I -statistical analogue of Phu's result holds for some kind of subsequences.

Theorem 3.2. Let $x = \{x_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence in X and $r > 0$ be any real number. If x has a subsequence $x' = \{x_{j_k}\}$ satisfying the condition $I\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n}|\{j_k \leq n : k \in \mathbb{N}\}| \neq 0$ then $I\text{-st-LIM}_x^r \subset I\text{-st-LIM}_{x'}^r$.

Proof. Let $\xi \in I\text{-st-LIM}_x^r$ and let $x = \{x_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ has a subsequence $x' = \{x_{j_k}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that $I\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n}|\{j_k \leq n : k \in \mathbb{N}\}| \neq 0$. Suppose on the contrary, $\xi \notin I\text{-st-LIM}_{x'}^r$. Then there exists an $\varepsilon' > 0$ such that $I\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n}|\{j_k \leq n : \|x_{j_k} - \xi\| < r + \varepsilon'\}| \neq 0$. Then $\frac{1}{n}|\{j_k \leq n : \|x_{j_k} - \xi\| \geq r + \varepsilon'\}| \leq \frac{1}{n}|\{k \leq n : \|x_k - \xi\| \geq r + \varepsilon'\}|$ and so $I\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n}|\{k \leq n : \|x_k - \xi\| \geq r + \varepsilon'\}| \neq 0$, which

contradicts that $\xi \in I\text{-st-LIM}_x^r$. Hence $\xi \in I\text{-st-LIM}_x^r$. Therefore $I\text{-st-LIM}_x^r \subset I\text{-st-LIM}_x^r$. $\square$

Theorem 3.3. *Let $x = \{x_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ be sequence in X and $r > 0$ be a real number. Then the rough I -statistical limit set of the sequence x i.e., the set $I\text{-st-LIM}_x^r$ is closed.*

Proof. If $I\text{-st-LIM}_x^r = \emptyset$, then nothing to prove.

Let us assume that $I\text{-st-LIM}_x^r \neq \emptyset$. Now consider a sequence $\{y_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ in $I\text{-st-LIM}_x^r$ with $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} y_k = y$. Choose $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\delta > 0$. Then there exists $i_{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\|y_k - y\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ for all $k > i_{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}$. Let $k_0 > i_{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}$. Then $y_{k_0} \in I\text{-st-LIM}_x^r$ and so $A = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{n}|\{k \leq n : \|x_k - y_{k_0}\| \geq r + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\}| \geq \delta\} \in I$. Since I is admissible so $M = \mathbb{N} \setminus A$ is nonempty. Choose $n \in M$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{n}|\{k \leq n : \|x_k - y_{k_0}\| \geq r + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\}| < \delta \\ \Rightarrow & \frac{1}{n}|\{k \leq n : \|x_k - y_{k_0}\| < r + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\}| \geq 1 - \delta. \end{aligned}$$

Put $B_n = \{k \leq n : \|x_k - y_{k_0}\| < r + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\}$. Then for $k \in B_n$,

$$\|x_k - y\| \leq \|x_k - y_{k_0}\| + \|y_{k_0} - y\| < r + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} = r + \varepsilon.$$

Hence $B_n \subset \{k \leq n : \|x_k - y\| < r + \varepsilon\}$, which implies $1 - \delta \leq \frac{|B_n|}{n} \leq \frac{1}{n}|\{k \leq n : \|x_k - y\| < r + \varepsilon\}|$. Therefore $\frac{1}{n}|\{k \leq n : \|x_k - y\| \geq r + \varepsilon\}| < 1 - (1 - \delta) = \delta$. Then

$$\{n : \frac{1}{n}|\{k \leq n : \|x_k - y\| \geq r + \varepsilon\}| \geq \delta\} \subset A \in I.$$

This shows that $y \in I\text{-st-LIM}_x^r$. Hence $I\text{-st-LIM}_x^r$ is a closed set. $\square$

Theorem 3.4. *Let $x = \{x_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ be sequence in X and $r > 0$ be a real number. Then the rough I -statistical limit set $I\text{-st-LIM}_x^r$ of the sequence x is a convex set.*

Proof. Let $y_0, y_1 \in I\text{-st-LIM}_x^r$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. Let

$$A_0 = \{k \in \mathbb{N} : \|x_k - y_0\| \geq r + \varepsilon\}$$

$$A_1 = \{k \in \mathbb{N} : \|x_k - y_1\| \geq r + \varepsilon\}.$$

Then by theorem 3.1 for $\delta > 0$ we have $\{n : \frac{1}{n}|\{k \leq n : k \in A_0 \cup A_1\}| \geq \delta\} \in I$. Choose $0 < \delta_1 < 1$ such that $0 < 1 - \delta_1 < \delta$. Let $A = \{n : \frac{1}{n}|\{k \leq n : k \in A_0 \cup A_1\}| \geq \delta_1\}$. Then $A \in I$. Now for each $n \notin A$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{n}|\{k \leq n : k \in A_0 \cup A_1\}| < 1 - \delta_1 \\ \Rightarrow & \frac{1}{n}|\{k \leq n : k \notin A_0 \cup A_1\}| \geq \{1 - (1 - \delta_1)\} = \delta_1. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\{k \in \mathbb{N} : k \notin A_0 \cup A_1\}$ is a nonempty set. Let us take $k_0 \in A_0^c \cap A_1^c$ and $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_{k_0} - (1-\lambda)y_0 - \lambda y_1\| &= \|(1-\lambda)x_{k_0} + \lambda x_{k_0} - [(1-\lambda)y_0 + \lambda y_1]\| \\ &\leq (1-\lambda)\|x_{k_0} - y_0\| + \lambda\|x_{k_0} - y_1\| \\ &< (1-\lambda)(r+\varepsilon) + \lambda(r+\varepsilon) = r+\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Let $B = \{k \in \mathbb{N} : \|x_k - [(1-\lambda)y_0 + \lambda y_1]\| \geq r+\varepsilon\}$. Then clearly, $A_0^c \cap A_1^c \subset B^c$. So for $n \notin A$,

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_1 &\leq \frac{1}{n}|\{k \leq n : k \notin A_0 \cup A_1\}| \leq \frac{1}{n}|\{k \leq n : k \notin B\}| \\ \Rightarrow \frac{1}{n}|\{k \leq n : k \in B\}| &< 1 - \delta_1 < \delta. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $A^c \subset \{n : \frac{1}{n}|\{k \leq n : k \in B\}| < \delta\}$. Since $A^c \in \mathbb{F}(I)$, so $\{n : \frac{1}{n}|\{k \leq n : k \in B\}| < \delta\} \in \mathbb{F}(I)$ and so $\{n : \frac{1}{n}|\{k \leq n : k \in B\}| \geq \delta\} \in I$. This completes the proof. $\square$

Theorem 3.5. *Let $r > 0$. Then a sequence $x = \{x_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ in X is rough I -statistically convergent to ξ if and only if there exists a sequence $y = \{y_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ in X such that I -st-lim $y = \xi$ and $\|x_k - y_k\| \leq r$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.*

Proof. Let $y = \{y_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence in X , which is I -statistically convergent to ξ and $\|x_k - y_k\| \leq r$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then for any $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\delta > 0$ the set $A = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{n}|\{k \leq n : \|y_k - \xi\| \geq \varepsilon\}| \geq \delta\} \in I$. Let $n \notin A$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{n}|\{k \leq n : \|y_k - \xi\| \geq \varepsilon\}| &< \delta \\ \Rightarrow \frac{1}{n}|\{k \leq n : \|y_k - \xi\| < \varepsilon\}| &\geq 1 - \delta. \end{aligned}$$

Let $B_n = \{k \leq n : \|y_k - \xi\| < \varepsilon\}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then for $k \in B_n$, we have

$$\|x_k - \xi\| \leq \|x_k - y_k\| + \|y_k - \xi\| < r + \varepsilon.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} B_n &\subset \{k \leq n : \|x_k - \xi\| < r + \varepsilon\} \\ \Rightarrow \frac{|B_n|}{n} &\leq \frac{1}{n}|\{k \leq n : \|x_k - \xi\| < r + \varepsilon\}| \\ \Rightarrow \frac{1}{n}|\{k \leq n : \|x_k - \xi\| < r + \varepsilon\}| &\geq 1 - \delta \\ \Rightarrow \frac{1}{n}|\{k \leq n : \|x_k - \xi\| \geq r + \varepsilon\}| &< 1 - (1 - \delta) = \delta. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\{n \in \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{n}|\{k \leq n : \|x_k - \xi\| \geq r + \varepsilon\}| \geq \delta\} \subset A$ and since $A \in I$, we have $\{n \in \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{n}|\{k \leq n : \|x_k - \xi\| \geq r + \varepsilon\}| \geq \delta\} \in I$. Hence $x_k \xrightarrow{r-I^{st}} \xi$.

Conversely, suppose that $x_k \xrightarrow{r-I-st} \xi$. Then for $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\delta > 0$,

$$A = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{n} |\{k \leq n : \|x_k - \xi\| \geq r + \varepsilon\}| \geq \delta\} \in I.$$

Let $n \notin A$. Then

$$\frac{1}{n} |\{k \leq n : \|x_k - \xi\| \geq r + \varepsilon\}| < \delta.$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{1}{n} |\{k \leq n : \|x_k - \xi\| < r + \varepsilon\}| \geq 1 - \delta.$$

Let $B_n = \{k \leq n : \|x_k - \xi\| < r + \varepsilon\}$. Now we define a sequence $y = \{y_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ as follows:

$$y_k = \begin{cases} \xi & , \text{if } \|x_k - \xi\| \leq r, \\ x_k + r \frac{\xi - x_k}{\|x_k - \xi\|}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|y_k - x_k\| &= \|\xi - x_k\| \leq r, \text{ if } \|x_k - \xi\| \leq r, \\ &= r, \text{ otherwise.} \end{aligned}$$

Also,

$$\begin{aligned} \|y_k - \xi\| &= \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } \|x_k - \xi\| \leq r \\ \|x_k - \xi + r \frac{\xi - x_k}{\|x_k - \xi\|}\|, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } \|x_k - \xi\| \leq r \\ \|x_k - \xi\| - r, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Let $k \in B_n$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|y_k - \xi\| &= 0, \text{ if } \|x_k - \xi\| \leq r, \\ &< \varepsilon, \text{ if } r < \|x_k - \xi\| < r + \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} &B_n \subset \{k \leq n : \|y_k - \xi\| < \varepsilon\} \\ \Rightarrow \frac{|B_n|}{n} &\leq \frac{1}{n} |\{k \leq n : \|y_k - \xi\| < \varepsilon\}| \\ \Rightarrow \frac{1}{n} |\{k \leq n : \|y_k - \xi\| < \varepsilon\}| &\geq 1 - \delta \\ \Rightarrow \frac{1}{n} |\{k \leq n : \|y_k - \xi\| \geq \varepsilon\}| &< 1 - (1 - \delta) = \delta. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\{n \in \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{n} |\{k \leq n : \|y_k - \xi\| \geq \varepsilon\}| \geq \delta\} \subset A$. Since $A \in I$, $\{n \in \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{n} |\{k \leq n : \|y_k - \xi\| \geq \varepsilon\}| \geq \delta\} \in I$ and so I -st- $\lim y = \xi$. $\square$

Definition 3.1. A point $\lambda \in X$ is said to be an I -statistical cluster point of a sequences x in X if for any $\varepsilon > 0$

$$d_I(\{k : \|x_k - \lambda\| < \varepsilon\}) \neq 0$$

where $d_I(A) = I - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} |\{k \leq n : k \in A\}|$, if exists.

The set of I -statistical cluster point of x is denoted by $\Lambda_x^S(I)$.

Theorem 3.6. Let $x = \{x_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence in X and $c \in \Lambda_x^S(I)$. Then $\|\xi - c\| \leq r$ for all $\xi \in I\text{-st-LIM}_x^r$.

Proof. If possible, let there exist $\xi \in I\text{-st-LIM}_x^r$ such that $\|\xi - c\| > r$. Let $\varepsilon = \frac{\|\xi - c\| - r}{2}$. Then,

$$\{k \in \mathbb{N} : \|x_k - \xi\| \geq r + \varepsilon\} \supset \{k \in \mathbb{N} : \|x_k - c\| < \varepsilon\}. \quad (3)$$

Since $c \in \Lambda_x^S(I)$, so $d_I(\{k : \|x_k - c\| < \varepsilon\}) \neq 0$. Hence by (3) we have $d_I(\{k : \|x_k - \xi\| \geq r + \varepsilon\}) \neq 0$, which contradicts that $\xi \in I\text{-st-LIM}_x^r$. Hence $\|\xi - c\| \leq r$. $\square$

Definition 3.2. A sequence $x = \{x_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ in X is said to be I -statistically bounded if there exists a positive number G such that for any $\delta > 0$ the set $A = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{n} |\{k \leq n : \|x_k\| \geq G\}| \geq \delta\} \in I$.

Theorem 3.7. A sequence $x = \{x_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ in X is I -statistically bounded if and only if there exists a non negative real number $r > 0$ such that $I\text{-st-LIM}_x^r \neq \emptyset$.

Proof. Let $x = \{x_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ be an I -statistically bounded sequence in X . Then there exists a positive real number G such that for $\delta > 0$ we have $\{n : \frac{1}{n} |\{k \leq n : \|x_k\| \geq G\}| \geq \delta\} \in I$. Let $A = \{k : \|x_k\| \geq G\}$. Then $I\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} |\{k \leq n : k \in A\}| = 0$. Let $r' = \sup\{\|x_k\| : k \in A^c\}$. Then the set $I\text{-st-LIM}_x^{r'}$ contains the origin. So we have $I\text{-st-LIM}_x^r \neq \emptyset$ for $r = r'$.

Conversely, let $I\text{-st-LIM}_x^r \neq \emptyset$ for some $r > 0$. Let $\xi \in I\text{-st-LIM}_x^r$. Choose $\varepsilon = \|\xi\|$. Then for each $\delta > 0$, $\{n \in \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{n} |\{k \leq n : \|x_k - \xi\| \geq r + \varepsilon\}| \geq \delta\} \in I$. Now taking $G = r + 2\|\xi\|$, we have $\{n \in \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{n} |\{k \leq n : \|x_k\| \geq G\}| \geq \delta\} \in I$. Therefore x is I -statistically bounded. $\square$

Theorem 3.8. Let $x = \{x_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence in X and $r > 0$.

- (i) If $c \in \Lambda_x^S(I)$, then $I\text{-st-LIM}_x^r \subset \overline{B_r(c)}$.
- (ii) $I\text{-st-LIM}_x^r = \bigcap_{c \in \Lambda_x^S(I)} \overline{B_r(c)} = \{\xi \in X : \Lambda_x^S(I) \subset \overline{B_r(\xi)}\}$

Proof. (i) Let $c \in \Lambda_x^S(I)$. Then by Theorem 3.6, for all $\xi \in I\text{-st-LIM}_x^r$, $\|\xi - c\| \leq r$ and hence the result follows.

(ii) By (i) it is clear that $I\text{-}st\text{-}LIM_r^x \subset \bigcap_{c \in \Lambda_x^S(I)} \overline{B_r(c)}$. Now for all $c \in \Lambda_x^S(I)$ and $y \in \bigcap_{c \in \Lambda_x^S(I)} \overline{B_r(c)}$ we have $\|y - c\| \leq r$. Then clearly $\bigcap_{c \in \Lambda_x^S(I)} \overline{B_r(c)} \subset \{\xi \in X : \Lambda_x^S(I) \subset \overline{B_r(\xi)}\}$.

Now, let $y \notin I\text{-}st\text{-}LIM_r^x$. Then there exists an $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $d_I(A) \neq 0$, where $A = \{k \in \mathbb{N} : \|x_k - y\| \geq r + \varepsilon\}$. This implies the existence of an I -statistical cluster point c of the sequence x with $\|y - c\| \geq r + \varepsilon$. This gives $\Lambda_x^S(I) \not\subset \overline{B_r(y)}$ and so $y \notin \{\xi \in X : \Lambda_x^S(I) \subset \overline{B_r(\xi)}\}$. Hence $\{\xi \in X : \Lambda_x^S(I) \subset \overline{B_r(\xi)}\} \subset I\text{-}st\text{-}LIM_r^x$. This completes the proof. $\square$

Acknowledgment: The authors are grateful to Prof. Pratulananda Das, Department of Mathematics, Jadavpur University for his advice during the preparation of this paper. The third author is grateful to Government of India for his fellowship funding under UGC-JRF scheme during the preparation of this paper.

REFERENCES

- [1] S. Aytar: Rough statistical coverage, Numer. Funct. Anal. And Optimiz. 29(3)(2008), 291-303.
- [2] P. Das, E. Savas S.K. Ghosal: On generalizations of certain summability methods using ideals, Appl. Math. Letters 24(2011), 1509-1514.
- [3] P. Das, E. Savas: On I -statistically precauchy sequences, Taiwanese J. of Math. 18(1)(Feb. 2014), 115-126.
- [4] P. Das, P. Malik: On the statistical and I -variation of double sequences, Tatra Mt. Math. Publ 40(2008), 91-112.
- [5] P. Das, P. Kostyrko, W. Wilczyński, P. Malik : I and I^* -convergence of double sequences, Math. Slovaca 58(2008), 605-620.
- [6] J. A. Fridy: On statistical convergence, Analysis 5(1985), 301-313.
- [7] H. Fast: Sur la convergence statistique, Colloq. Math. 2(1951), 241-244.
- [8] P. Kostyrko, T. Šalát, W. Wilczyński: I -convergence, Real Anal. Exchange 26(2)(2000/2001), 669-685.
- [9] P. Malik, M. Maity: On rough statistical convergence of double sequences in normed linear spaces, Afr. Mat. 27(2016), 141-148
- [10] P. Malik, M. Maity: On rough convergence of double sequences in normed linear spaces, Allahabad Mathematical Society 28(10)(2013), 89-99.
- [11] P. Malik, M. Maity, A. Ghosh: A note on rough I -convergence of double sequences, arXiv preprint arXiv:1603.01363, 2016
- [12] S.K. Pal, D. Chandra, S. Dutta: Rough ideal convergence, Hacettepe J. of Math. and Stat. 42(6)(2013), 633-640.
- [13] H.X. Phu: Rough convergence in normed linear spaces, Numer. Funct. Anal. And Optimiz. 22(2001), 201-224.
- [14] I. J. Schoenberg: The integrability of certain functions and related summability methods, Amer. Math. Monthly 66(1959) 361-375.

- [15] T. Šalát: On statistically convergent sequences of real numbers, Math. Slovaca 30(1980), 139-150.
- [16] E. Savas, P. Das: A generalized statistical convergence via ideals, App. Math. Letters 24(2011) 826-830.
- [17] U. Yamanc and M. Gürdal: *I*-Statistical convergence in 2-normed space, Arab J.Math.Sci. 20(1) (2014), 41-47.